Bryan Quesenberry 197 East 100 North, Suite A Payson, UT 84651 801-473-9951 jbq.esq@gmail.com Plaintiff/Relator Pro Se

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel. BRYAN QUESENBERRY,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ARTISTIC TILE, INC.; ATLANTIC WATER PRODUCTS DELAWARE DIVISION: AURO PRO SYSTEMS, INC.; BAIS SHAINDEL HIGH SCHOOL; CMC TRUCK SERVICE, INC.; CRAIG INGWER: DANIEL MARKUS, INC.; DIACO CONTRACTING, INC.; F/V LEADER, INC.; GI LOGISTICS, INC.; GTG WAREHOUSE, INC.; ITCAPS, LLC; JERSEY TEMPERED GLASS, INC.; JEWISH HOME AT ROCKLEIGH, INC.; **OUALITY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES,** INC.; RAILROAD CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; SOVEREIGN MEDICAL GROUP; SPLN INTERNATIONAL, LLC; STEVE FELL; STOCKEL'S LAWN & LANDSCAPING, INC.: TEMPLE BETH AHM YISRAEL; V&B INVESTMENT GUTTENBERG, LLC; VAN VUGT GREENHOUSES, INC.; and YANNIS KARAVIA, LLC,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS ACT

(Filed in camera and under seal) (DO NOT PLACE ON PACER)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Civil Action No.

SEP 1 8 2020

AT 8:30____M WILLIAM T. WALSH CLERK Plaintiff-Relator Bryan Quesenberry, acting *pro se*, on behalf of the United States of America (the "Government" or the "Federal Government") and against the above-named Defendants, alleges based upon personal knowledge, relevant documents, information, and belief, as follows.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action to recover damages and civil penalties on behalf of the United States of America arising from false and/or fraudulent records, statements, and claims made and caused to be made by Defendants and/or their agents and employees, in violation of the federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729, et seq. ("the FCA").
- 2. This action seeks to recover millions of federal dollars wrongfully loaned to Defendants through the Federal Government's Payroll Protection Program ("PPP"). The PPP provides a pathway to borrowers for forgiveness of these loans.
- 3. Pursuant to the PPP, the Federal Government has spent billions of dollars in stimulus funding, as well as other federal funding, to support and aid legitimate businesses through the coronavirus pandemic.
- 4. This action alleges that certain Defendants applied multiple times for PPP funds and received PPP funds multiple times despite the PPP application and rules prohibiting applicants from receiving PPP funds more than once.
- 5. The FCA was enacted during the Civil War, and was substantially amended in 1986, and again in 2009 and 2010. Congress amended the FCA in 1986 to enhance the Federal Government's ability to recover losses sustained as a result of fraud against the United States after finding that fraud in federal programs was pervasive and that the FCA, which Congress characterized as a primary tool for combating government fraud, was in need of modernization.

The amendments create incentives for individuals to come forward with information about fraud against the Federal Government without fear of reprisals or inaction, and enable the use of private legal resources to prosecute fraud claims on the Federal Government's behalf.

- 6. The FCA prohibits, *inter alia*: (1) knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval; and (2) knowingly making or using, or causing to be made or used, a false or fraudulent record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim. 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729(a)(1)(A), (B). Any person who violates the FCA is liable for a civil penalty of up to \$11,000 for each such claim, **plus three times the amount of the damages** sustained by the Government. 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A) (as adjusted by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 [28 U.S.C. § 2461 note; Public Law 104-410]).
- 7. In 2009, Congress amended the FCA to clarify that a "claim" includes "any request or demand, whether under a contract or otherwise, for money or property and whether or not the United States has title to the money or property that (i) is presented to an officer, employee, or agent of the United States; or (ii) is made to a contractor, grantee, or other recipient, if the money or property is to be spent or used on the Government's behalf or to advance a Government program or interest…" 31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(2).
- 8. The FCA allows any person having information about an FCA violation to bring an action for himself and the Federal Government, and to share in any recovery. The FCA requires that the complaint be filed under seal for a minimum of 60 days (without service on Defendants during that time) to allow the Federal Government time to conduct its own investigation and to determine whether to join the suit.

9. Based on the foregoing laws, *qui tam* Plaintiff/Relator seeks through this action to recover all available damages, civil penalties, and other relief for the violations alleged herein in every jurisdiction to which Defendants' misconduct has extended.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff/Relator Bryan Quesenberry ("Relator") is a resident of Utah. He brings this action on behalf of the United States of America, the real party in interest.
- 11. Defendant Artistic Tile, Inc. ("Artistic Tile") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Secaucus, NJ. Artistic Tile applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/10/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/13/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 12. Defendant Atlantic Water Products Delaware Division ("Atlantic Water") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Mays Landing, NJ. Atlantic Water applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 5/3/2020 and approved for another \$350,000 \$1 million on 5/3/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 13. Defendant Auro Pro Systems, Inc. ("Auro") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Plainsboro and/or Princeton Meadows, NJ. Auro applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 5/27/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 6/23/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 14. Defendant Bais Shaindel High School ("Bais Shaindel") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Lakewood Township, NJ. Bais Shaindel applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/30/2020 and approved for another \$350,000 \$1 million on 5/3/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.

- 15. Defendant CMC Truck Service, Inc. ("CMC") is a New Jersey entity doing business in South Plainfield, NJ. CMC applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/29/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 5/3/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 16. Defendant Craig Ingwer ("Ingwer") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Hamilton, NJ. Ingwer applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 5/3/2020 and approved for another \$350,000 \$1 million on 5/3/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 17. Defendant Daniel Markus, Inc. ("Daniel Markus") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Passaic, NJ. Daniel Markus applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/30/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/30/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 18. Defendant Diaco Contracting, Inc. ("Diaco") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Paterson, NJ. Diaco applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$350,000 \$1 million on 4/28/2020 and approved for another \$350,000 \$1 million on 5/3/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 19. Defendant F/V Leader, Inc. ("F/V Leader") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Cape May, NJ. F/V Leader applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 6/30/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 6/30/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 20. Defendant GI Logistics, Inc. ("GI Logistics") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Newark, NJ. GI Logistics applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for

- \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/30/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 6/16/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 21. Defendant GTG Warehouse, Inc. ("GTG") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Linden, NJ. GTG applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/15/2020 and approved for another \$1 \$2 million on 4/28/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 22. Defendant Itcaps, LLC ("Itcaps") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Parlin, NJ. Itcaps applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$350,000 \$1 million on 5/1/2020 and approved for another \$350,000 \$1 million on 6/30/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 23. Defendant Jersey Tempered Glass, Inc. ("Tempered Glass") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Mount Laurel, NJ. Tempered Glass applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 5/3/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 5/3/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 24. Defendant Jewish Home at Rockleigh, Inc. ("Jewish Home") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Northvale, NJ. Jewish Home applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/7/2020 and approved for another \$2 \$5 million on 4/7/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 25. Defendant Quality Management Associates, Inc. ("Quality Management") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Palmyra, NJ. Quality Management applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$350,000 \$1 million on 5/3/2020 and approved for another \$1 \$2 million on 5/3/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.

- 26. Defendant Railroad Constructors, Inc. ("Railroad Constructors") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Paulsboro, NJ. Railroad Constructors applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$350,000 \$1 million on 4/8/2020 and approved for another \$350,000 \$1 million on 4/8/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 27. Defendant Sovereign Medical Group ("Sovereign") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Glen Rock, NJ. Sovereign applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$2 \$5 million on 6/3/2020 and approved for another \$2 \$5 million on 6/6/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 28. Defendant Spln International, LLC ("Spln") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Jersey City, NJ. Spln applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 4/29/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 6/30/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 29. Defendant Steve Fell ("Fell") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Paterson, NJ. Fell applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 5/3/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 5/3/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 30. Defendant Stockel's Lawn & Landscaping, Inc. ("Stockel's") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Manalapan, NJ. Stockel's applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 on 6/29/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 6/30/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 31. Defendant Temple Beth Ahm Yisrael ("Temple") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Springfield Township, NJ. Temple applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved

for \$150,000 - \$350,000 on 4/27/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 - \$350,000 on 4/29/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.

- 32. Defendant V&B Investment Guttenberg, LLC ("V&B") is a New Jersey entity doing business in North Bergen, NJ. V&B applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$350,000 \$1 million on 6/17/2020 and approved for another \$150,000 \$350,000 on 6/23/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 33. Defendant Van Vugt Greenhouses, Inc. ("Van Vugt") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Pompton Plains, NJ. Van Vugt applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$350,000 \$1 million on 4/27/2020 and approved for another \$350,000 \$1 million on 6/30/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 34. Defendant Yannis Karavia, LLC ("Yannis") is a New Jersey entity doing business in Port Norris, NJ. Yannis applied for PPP funds twice, and was approved for \$150,000 \$350,000 million on 4/13/2020 and approved for another \$350,000 \$1 million on 6/30/2020. Upon information and belief, this entity received these funds.
- 35. According to the PPP application discussed below, owners of Defendants include partners or members owning 20% or more equity in the company. Upon information and belief, and after further discovery in this matter, Relator anticipates he will need to amend this Complaint to add in such owners or representatives as co-defendants if they were complicit in the fraudulent misrepresentations listed herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

36. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 31 U.S.C. § 3732, the latter of which specifically confers jurisdiction on this Court for actions brought pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 and 3730. Although this issue is no

longer jurisdictional after the 2009 amendments to the FCA, to Realtor's knowledge there has been no statutorily relevant public disclosure of the "allegations or transactions" in this Complaint, as those concepts are used in 31 U.S.C. § 3730(e), as amended by Pub. L. No. 111-148, § 10104(j)(2), 124 Stat. 119, 901-02.

- 37. Moreover, whether or not such a disclosure has occurred, Relator would qualify as an "original source" of the information on which the allegations or transactions in this Complaint are based. Plaintiff researched and discovered through a records request the pertinent dates of formation and other related entity information of each Defendant.
- 38. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because that section authorizes nationwide service of process and because Defendants are New Jersey entities.
- 39. Venue is proper in the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c) and 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because Defendants can be found in and/or transacted business in this district, and because violations of 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 et seq. alleged herein occurred within this district. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants regularly conducted substantial business within this district.

THE PAYCHECK PROTECTION PROGRAM

40. Congress added sections 1102 and 1106 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act"). Section 1102 contains a new program called the Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP") and is party of the U.S. Small Business Administration's ("SBA") 7(a) Loan Program. These two sections are intended to provide economic relief to small businesses nationwide adversely impacted by the coronavirus pandemic and the COVID-19 Emergency Declaration issued by President Trump on March 13, 2020.

- 41. Due to the COVID-19 emergency, many small businesses nationwide are experiencing economic hardship as a direct result of the Federal, State, and local public health measures that are being taken to minimize the public's exposure to the coronavirus.
- 42. The SBA received funding and authority through the CARES Act to modify existing loan programs and establish the new PPP loan program to assist small businesses nationwide adversely impacted by the coronavirus pandemic.
- 43. Section 1102 of the Act temporarily permits SBA to guarantee 100% of 7(a) loans under the PPP. Section 1106 of the CARES Act provides for forgiveness of up to the full principal amount of qualifying loans guaranteed under the PPP.
- 44. The CARES Act was intended to provide relief to America's small businesses expeditiously.
- 45. The CARES Act gives lenders delegated authority to process loan applications for PPP funding. SBA allowed lenders to rely on certifications of the borrowers in order to determine eligibility of the borrower and use of loan proceeds, and to rely on specified documents provided by the borrower to determine qualifying loan amount, and eligibility for loan forgiveness. Lenders are held harmless for borrowers' failures to comply with PPP rules.
- 46. Defendants had to submit documentation necessary to establish eligibility such as payroll processor records, payroll tax filings, form 1099s, income and expenses documentation.
- 47. In general, Defendants calculated an amount to borrow by aggregating payroll costs from the previous 12 months for employees whose principal place of residence is the United States. Annual employee salaries are capped at \$100,000. The borrower then calculated the average monthly payroll cost and multiplied that amount by a factor of 2.5.

- 48. One certification on the Application states, "Current economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant."
- 49. Each Defendant certified on its PPP application that "[d]uring the period beginning on February 15, 2020 and ending on December 31, 2020, the *Applicant has not and will not receive another loan under the Paycheck Protection Program*." (Emphasis added)
- 50. Defendants also "further certify that the information provided in this application and the information provided in all supporting documents and forms is true and accurate in all material respects."
- 51. Finally, all Defendants certify that they "understand that knowingly making a false statement to obtain a guaranteed loan from SBA is punishable under the law, including under 18 USC 1001 and 3571 by imprisonment of not more than five years and/or a fine of up to \$250,000; under 15 USC 645 by imprisonment of not more than two years and/or a fine of not more than \$5,000; and, if submitted to a federally insured institution, under 18 USC 1014 by imprisonment of not more than thirty years and/or a fine of not more than \$1,000,000."

ALLEGATIONS

- 52. According to the Small Business Administration (SBA), New Jersey -based entities who applied for and received at least \$150,000 in PPP funds totaled approximately 21,858.
- 53. Plaintiff reviewed and analyzed data from the SBA to identify New Jersey -based entities who applied more than once for PPP funds. Plaintiff then cross-checked that data with the New Jersey Secretary of State's website to confirm various data points and information and fully identify entities who unlawfully applied more than once for PPP funds. The result was the above-named Defendants for this District of New Jersey.

- 54. Defendants each certified on their PPP applications that "[d]uring the period beginning on February 15, 2020 and ending on December 31, 2020, the Applicant has not and will not receive another loan under the Paycheck Protection Program."
- 55. Defendants thus made material misrepresentations on their applications for PPP funds, knowing lenders and the Federal Government would rely on said representations in paying PPP funds to Defendants.
- 56. Relator reserves the right to amend this Complaint and expand these allegations upon review of the actual applications and supporting documentation submitted by Defendants.

False Claims Act

31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A)-(B)

- 57. Relator realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 58. This is a claim for treble damages and penalties under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729, et seq., as amended.
- 59. By virtue of the acts described above, Defendants knowingly presented or caused to be presented, false or fraudulent claims to the United States Government, or authorized agent of the United States Government, for payment or approval.
- 60. By virtue of the acts described above, Defendants knowingly made or used, or caused to be made or used, false or fraudulent records or statements material to false or fraudulent claims for payment by the Government.
- 61. Relator cannot at this time identify all of the false claims for payment that were caused by Defendants' conduct. The false claims were presented to third party lending

institutions. Relator does not have access to the records of all such false or fraudulent statements or claims.

- 62. Lenders, acting on behalf of the Federal Government, were guaranteed 100% of the PPP loans. Said lenders were unaware of the falsity of the records, statements, and claims made or caused to be made by Defendants. Said lenders paid the claims that would not be paid but for Defendants' illegal conduct.
- 63. By reason of Defendants' acts, the United States has been damaged, and continues to be damaged, in a substantial amount to be determined at trial.
- 64. Additionally, the United States is entitled to the maximum penalty of up to \$11,000 for each and every violation arising from Defendants' unlawful conduct alleged herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, qui tam Plaintiff/Relator prays for judgment against each Defendant as follows:

- 1. That this Court enter judgment against each Defendant in an amount equal to three times the damages the United Sates has sustained because of Defendant's actions, plus a civil penalty of not less than \$5,500 and not more than \$11,000 for each violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729;
- 2. That Relator be awarded the maximum amount allowed pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(d);
- That Relator be awarded all costs of this action, including attorney's fees and expenses; and
- 4. That Relator recover such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Relator hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: 9/12/2020

Respectfully submitted,

Bryan/Quesenberry Plaintiff/Relator

Case 1:20-cv-13070-RMB-SAK Document 1-1 Filed 09/18/20 Page 1 of 1 PageID: 15 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	*	DEFENDANTS	8				
United States of America ex rel Bryan Quesenberry		Artistic Tile, Inc., et al.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Utah County, L	Jtah	County of Residence	e of First Liste	d Defendant	Hudson Cour	nty, ME)
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACTIOF LAND INVOLVED.					
		THE TRAC	I OF LANDING	VOLVED.		•	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		ram 8 #7 [6			
PRO SE		1	SEP	1 8 2020			
FRO 3E			A. S. C. C.	. 0 2020			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. C	TIZENSHIP OF F (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPA	L'PARTIES	Alace an "X" in C	ne Box fo	or Plainti
☐ 1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question		(2	TF DEF	LERK	and one barjon	Defenda PTF	nt) DEF
Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citiz			Incorporated or Pr	rincipal Place	□ 4	DX 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	X 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		D 5	□ 5
		zen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation				□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.							
CONTRACT TORES 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL I		ORFETTURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure		RUPTCY	OTHER S		SS
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 365 Personal In	njury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withd:		🔀 376 Qui Tam		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 315 Airplane Product ☐ Product Li ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ Liability ☐ 367 Health Car		90 Other	28 USC 157		3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceut & Enforcement of Judgment Slander Personal In			PROPERTY RIGHTS 410 Antitrust 220 Copyrights 430 Banks and E		I Dankina	-	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Product Lia	ability		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 450 Commerce		e	3	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos P. Student Loans ☐ 340 Marine Injury Proc				- Abbreviated Trug Application	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer		ed and
(Excludes Veterans) 🛘 345 Marine Product Liability	L		☐ 840 Trader	nark	Corrupt O	rganizatio	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 370 Other Frau		LABOR O Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1	SECURITY 1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer (15 USC		(692)
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 371 Truth in Le ☐ 190 Other Contract Product Liability ☐ 380 Other Perso		Act 20 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black :	Lung (923) /DIWW (405(g))	☐ 485 Telephone Protection		ner
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Property Da	amage	Relations	☐ 864 SSID 7	Title XVI	☐ 490 Cable/Sat	TV	
☐ 196 Franchise Injury ☐ 385 Property Date ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Product Liai		10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (4	05(g))	☐ 850 Securities Exchange	;	
Medical Malpractice REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PET	PETRONS 79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	☐ 890 Other Stat ☐ 891 Agricultur	utory Acti	ions
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpu	is: 🗆 79	1 Employee Retirement		(U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environm		tters
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 463 Alien Detai ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motions to		Income Security Act	or Def ☐ 871 IRS—	fendant) Third Party	□ 895 Freedom o	of Inform	ation
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 443 Housing/ Sentence	7 40410			C 7609	☐ 896 Arbitratio		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations ☐ 530 General ☐ 290 All Other Real Property ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 535 Death Pena	alty	IMMIGRATION			☐ 899 Administr Act/Revie		
Employment Other:		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration	n		Agency D 950 Constituti		
Other 🔲 550 Civil Right	s I	Actions			State Stat		
☐ 448 Education ☐ 555 Prison Con☐ 560 Civil Detain							
Conditions Confinemen							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original	□ 4 Rein	stated or	1.0	☐ 6 Multidistr	int OS	Aultidist	
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court	Reop	pened Anothe (specify	er District	Litigation Transfer	- I	itigation irect Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which 31 USC 3729 Brief description of cause:	you are ming ()o not cite jurisdictional sta	itutes unicss dive	ersity):			
Fraud Claim action to recoup fe	ederal PPP fu	ınds					
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACCOMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	CTION D	EMAND \$		IECK YES only RY DEMAND:	if demanded in c	omplain No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): UJDGE			DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE CO9/10/2020	OF AUTOMBY	TRECORD PIO SI					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	44/-						
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING	F IFP	JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		



RECEIVED

SEP 18 2020

AT 8:30 M WILLIAM T. WALSH CLERK

Mitchell H. Cohen Building + U.S. Corthouse 4th & Cooper Streets Camben, NJ 68101 | RED.